# Power Transmission and Distribution Losses - A Modal Based on Available Empirical Data and Future Trends for All Countries Globally

M.Tech. Scholar Sadre Alam, Prof. Lokesh Shukla

Department of Electrical Engineering, SORT People's University, Bhopal, MP

Abstract- Distribution system operating environments are changing rapidly due to the integration of the intermittent renewable in to the power grid at the distribution side of the power system. Therefore, with increasing number of wind based distributed generators (DFIGs) being installed within distribution systems, the traditional methods for distribution system modeling, DFIG placement & sizing, network reconfiguration needs to be reviewed and better practical ones developed to cater for the intermittent renewable power. The combined participation factors, realized by the Newton Raphson method, capture network parameters, load distributions and DFIG capacities (sizes) and locations have been formulated considering real and reactive power. A distributed slack bus model taking into consideration network sensitivity is proposed in the research as compared to the distributed slack bus models based on the DFIG capacity, DFIG domains and the single slack bus model. DFIG placement and sizing using a particle swarm optimization method (PSO) and a hybrid of GA and PSO (HGAPSO) and by load flow method are compared. With simulated results, the optimal location of the DFIG is the primary distribution system, with the HGAPSO giving improved results as compared to the ordinary PSO and the load flow. The active distribution network reconfiguration problem with an objective function of reducing real and reactive power losses in the presence of DFIG and uncertain loads proves the practicability of such a method in reducing power losses and in improvement of the voltage profile .Here an hybrid method of bacterial foraging and differential evolution (HBFDE)is applied. The proposed methods, as applied to the IEEE 33 Bus Radial distribution system, are found to be effective in the power loss reduction in the power system wind based distributed generation.

Keywords- distribution Transformers, HVDS, LVDS, Losses, Load Flow Technique, Radial Distribution System, Real Power Flow, Reactive Power Flow.

# I. INTRODUCTION

In the present scenario of energy crisis, saving of the power has become a major issue. Studies have indicated that as much as 13% of total power generated is wasted in the form of losses at the distribution level [1]. Loss reduction is more economical than increasing generation. The main function of a power system is to feed industrial and consumer loads as economically as possible and with a reasonable level of reliability and quality. Distribution system provides the final link between the high voltage transmission system and the consumer. Radial distribution systems are popular because of their simple design and low cost. Radial distribution feeders are typically spread over large urban and rural areas and are becoming large and complex. This stressed the need for an efficient and effective distribution network.

© 2022 Sadre Alam. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly credited.

#### An Open Access Journal

The important requirement of a distribution system is that it should maintain a good power factor and the voltage variations at the consumer's terminals should be as low as possible. Low and high voltages result in loss of revenue and may cause permanent damage to the equipments. Therefore, a good distribution system should ensure that the voltage variations at consumer's terminals should be within permissible limits. The statutory limit of voltage is plus minus 5% of rated voltage at the consumers terminals. The reactive power compensation using shunt capacitors results in reduction of losses, improvement in voltage profile and power factor [2].

The installation of shunt capacitors on radial distribution system is also essential for the improvement of system stability and KVA capacity of the distribution equipments. The energy demand is increasing rapidly on distribution system due to customers growth of new and industrial establishment. Due to this the distributions systems are operating mostly under heavily loaded conditions and result in major power loss. It is very much necessary to give good quality of power to the consumer. The electrical energy is transferred from the generating station to consumer through distribution networks transmission and are accompanied by losses.

The major power loss is occurs in the distribution system. It is estimated that almost 10-13 % of the total power generated is consumed as I <sup>2</sup>R loss at the distribution level (Ng et al., 2000). It is very essential to reduce the I <sup>2</sup>R loss in the distribution system so as to improve the overall efficiency of the power transmission in the distribution system. The main reason behind the major power loss and voltage dip is due to insufficient reactive power. It is necessary to meet the energy demand with existing generating capacities.

Establishing new power generation requires huge capital costs and lands etc. In order to avoid these issues, it is planned to utilize the existing power optimally. It is necessary to optimize the distribution system operation by improving power loss reduction and voltage profile enhancement. In order to overcome the power quality issues, it is very much essential to use the compensating devices in the distribution system such as Capacitor placement, Distributed generation placement and DSTATCOM placement. Improper placement of compensating devices leads to reduce the benefits of the distribution system and even endanger to the entire system operation. Therefore, it is necessary to determine the optimal location and size of these compensating devices to achieve the maximum benefit of the system. This thesis work presents a new approach to minimize the total power loss and voltage profile enhancement. It is planned to place capacitor, distributed generation and DSTATCOM placement in radial distribution system to overcome the power quality issues.

## **1. Grey Wolf Optimizer:**

Grey wolf optimization method is a meta-heurist technique inspired by the hunting behavior and leadership hierarchy of Grey wolves [84]. Grey wolves prefer to live in a pack of size 5 to 12 and have a very dominant social hierarchy as shown in fig 4.1. The leaders are a male and female, called alphas. The alpha is mostly responsible for making decisions about hunting, sleeping place, time to wake, and so on.

The alpha's decisions are dictated to the pack. However, some kind of democratic behavior has also been observed, in which an alpha follows the other wolves in the pack. In gatherings, the entire pack acknowledges the alpha by holding their tails down. The alpha wolf is also called the dominant wolf since his/her orders should be followed by the pack. The alpha wolves are only allowed to mate in the pack. Interestingly, the alpha is not necessarily the strongest member of the pack but the best in terms of managing the pack.

This shows that the organization and discipline of a pack is much more important than its strength. The second level in the hierarchy of grey wolves is beta. The betas are subordinate wolves that help the alpha in decision-making or other pack activities. The beta wolf can be either male or female, and he/she is probably the best candidate to be the alpha in case one of the alpha wolves passes away or becomes very old. The beta wolf should respect the alpha, but commands the other lower-level wolves as well.

It plays the role of an advisor to the alpha and discipliner for the pack. The beta reinforces the alpha's commands throughout the pack and gives feedback to the alpha. The lowest ranking grey wolf is omega. The omega plays the role of scapegoat. Omega wolves always have to submit to all the other dominant wolves. They are the last wolves that are allowed to eat. It may seem the omega is not an important individual in the pack, but it has been observed that the whole pack face internal fighting and problems in case of losing the omega. This is due to the venting of violence and frustration of all wolves by the omega(s).

This assists satisfying the entire pack and maintaining the dominance structure. In some cases the omega is also the babysitters in the pack. If a wolf is not an alpha, beta, or omega, he/she is called subordinate (or delta in some references). Delta wolves have to submit to alphas and betas, but they dominate the omega.

Scouts, sentinels, elders, hunters, and caretakers belong to this category. Scouts are responsible for watching the boundaries of the territory and warning the pack in case of any danger. Sentinels protect and guarantee the safety of the pack. Elders are the experienced wolves who used to be alpha or beta. Hunters help the alphas and betas when hunting prey and providing food for the pack. Finally, the caretakers are responsible for caring for the weak, ill, and wounded wolves in the pack notwithstanding the societal pecking order of pack of wolves; bunch searching for prey is added fascinating societal conduct of the pack of grey wolves.

## 2. Mathematical model of GWO:

The above social behavior of Grey wolves is mathematically modelled and then optimization algorithm is developed.



Fig 1. Social Hierarchy of Grey Wolf Optimization.

### 2.1 Social Hierarchy:

The best solution is accounted as the alpha wolf ( $\alpha$ ), the second and third finest solutions are treated as beta wolf ( $\beta$ ) and delta wolf ( $\delta$ ) respectively.

The remaining solutions are assumed to be omega wolf ( $\omega$ ). The social hierarchy of wolves is shown in Figure 4.2. Further striking of prey, or optimization is

directed by positions of  $\alpha,\ \beta$  and  $\delta$  and  $\omega$  wolves track these three wolves.

## 2.2 Encircling Prey:

The Grey wolves encircle a prey throughout the searching for prey. Following equations are proposed to represent the behavior of the wolves in the form of a mathematical model:

### 2.3 Crossover:

The original GWO is modified by incorporating the operator crossover which is obtained from the Genetic Algorithm. The ability of global search is improved in the HGWO by incorporating crossover operator. All the members of the pack gain an opportunity to share their data amongst them which aids in getting closer to the optimum solution. The obligatory procedures of the exploration and exploitation among the wolves are maintained. Hence, it takes the edge of the problem of assortment and evades premature convergence. The probability of crossover PC can be 0 to 1.

According to this probability 100XPC % of strings of total population is selected for crossover. While 100X (1-PC) % of the population remains as it is. Crossover is the first operator applied on population of Grey wolves in hybrid grey wolf optimizer. The crossover probability is defined first for selection of pair of population. From the population of grey wolves, according to probability crossover is executed. The cross site is selected randomly from size of population matrix and the values after cross site is interchanged. The process is repeated for each population pair.

### 2.4 Mutation:

Mutation operator is used to further improve string/array after crossover. Mutation operator can be used to compliment. The mutation probability Pm is decided first. In this algorithm Pm is set to 1%. Mutation is the second operator applied here on grey wolf matrix. A mutation matrix of zeros and ones is generated according to mutation probability and is multiplied with grey wolf matrix element to element thereby changing some of the values.

The observational examination uncovers the fact that there may be the issue of immature convergence in the GWO because of absence of diversity in the search space. Further, it has been observed that sharing of information takes place only with three best solutions. During convergence, as the iterations converge, the GWO begins losing its diversity. In this manner, in the event of multimodal issues (where numerous nearby optima are available), it very well may be caught into an imperfect point, weakens it decent variety, and untimely crossover happened.

The Hybrid GWO (HGWO) can reduce the neighborhood ideal stagnation and resolve the decent variety issue. In this modification, the operator of GA is incorporated with the GWO. The combining of evolutionary strategies into GWO, improves the pursuit capacity as each part can impart its data to other pack mates. Thus, HGWO makes appropriate balance between exploitation and exploration.

## **II. RELATED WORK**

This section presents a brief discussion regarding the power loss minimization techniques adopted to the radial distribution system such as capacitor placement, Distributed Generation placement and DSTATCOM placement and analyzing the current scenario in this field.

**Sirojiddin R. Chorshanbiev et.al (2019)** this paper presents the results of a structural study of power losses in the Dushanbe (6-10 / 0.4 kV) distribution network in the Republic of Tajikistan. Data were given on the number of converters and the capacity installed in Dushanbe (6-10 / 0.4 kV) and the length of the distribution network (0.4-6-10 kV). A power loss structure dividing the power level and the type of loss is presented. The cost of electricity on the distribution network that Dushanbe studied is compared to the average loss value on the Russian electricity grid. In the 6-10 / 0.4 kV distributions network of Dushanbe and Russia, there was a significant discrepancy between the load rate and the conditional constant loss rate [6].

**Dai Wan et al. (2019)** as the load increases each year, the number of distribution converters also increases. Meanwhile, the impact of coordination interventions on the power system has become even greater in recent years. In order to study the effect of the alignment in hot weather the spread of the fuel pipe, based on the calculation of the load loss, the effect of the uneven distribution of the current loss

density on the heat. 'The warm place of the entire seat examined. Adjust the model to be under the logical period set in the previous study.

The results show that the horizontal wave will cause an additional temperature rise in the converter. When the harmonic distortion rate is 40%, the temperature rise in the hot zone reaches 102.7 K which exceeds the limit of 78 K in the hot spot distortion. When the harmonic conversion rate is 60%, the maximum temperature of the heat transfer at the top of the converter is more than 60K. At present, the high oil temperature reaches 112.2 ° C, which is close to the flash of mineral insulate oil, which has a significant impact on the safe operation of the material [7].

Ying DING et.al (2018) Disruption of power supply and energy causes problems with power supply and demand problems. Direct power exchange between the provinces can make full use of the power lines in the region to alleviate this problem. However, the members of the market involved in direct power exchange between the provinces are very different from the region; therefore, the transmission losses caused by the differences cannot be ignored. Not found. When we negotiated direct power exchanges in the provinces, we did not consider the impact of losses on transmission, which resulted in unfair exchanges in the market. Based on consideration of the safety issues and losses of transmission, this paper sets out a model of direct power negotiation in the province.

This model considers the various methods of power lines, offers a way to measure and quantify transmission losses, and examines the queue rules for direct power exchange between regions. The law considers the impact of transfer losses under priority on cost and energy protection and environmental protection. This model promotes the improvement of trading methods and maintains fairness in electronic market transactions. The results are relatively stable and can be used as empirical data for practical applications. This model provides support for decision-making on the relationship between supply and demand in the power market and the optimum distribution of large-scale resources [8].

## **III. PROPOSED SYSTEM**

#### An Open Access Journal

The computational procedure required to determine the steady state operating conditions of a power system network is termed as load flow. Load flow calculation is an important tool in the area of transmission and distribution system. It is required for automated distribution systems for operation and control, planning and optimization etc, this load flow technique is computationally efficient and is fast in convergence.



Fig 2. Proposed flow diagram.

The load flow techniques are used for solving radial distribution system. A simple and efficient load flow technique has been used for solving the both Low Voltage Distribution System (LVDS) and High Voltage Distribution System (HVDS).

The effectiveness of the proposed method is tested with practical radial distribution system. A. Assumptions It is assumed that the 3-phase radial distribution network are balanced and represented by their single line representation.

Table 1. Line data of 33 Node Radial Distribution Test System data.

| ,            |           |     |            |           |  |  |  |  |
|--------------|-----------|-----|------------|-----------|--|--|--|--|
| No line data | Branch Sn | Rc. | Line       | Line      |  |  |  |  |
| 1            | Nd        | Nd  | Resistance | Reactance |  |  |  |  |
|              | 1         | 2   | 0.0922     | 0.0470    |  |  |  |  |
| 2            | 2         | 3   | 0.4930     | 0.2511    |  |  |  |  |
| 3            | 3         | 4   | 0.3660     | 0.1864    |  |  |  |  |
| 4            | 4         | 5   | 0.3811     | 0.1941    |  |  |  |  |
| 4            | 5         | 6   | 0.8190     | 0.7070    |  |  |  |  |
| 6            | 6         | 7   | 0.1872     | 0.6188    |  |  |  |  |
| 7            | 7         | 8   | 0.7114     | 0.2351    |  |  |  |  |
| 8            | 8         | 9   | 1.0300     | 0.7400    |  |  |  |  |
| 9            | 9         | 10  | 1.0440     | 0.7400    |  |  |  |  |
| 10           | 10        | 11  | 0.1966     | 0.0650    |  |  |  |  |
| 11           | 11        | 12  | 0.3744     | 0.1238    |  |  |  |  |

| 12 | 12 | 13 | 1.4680 | 1.1550   |
|----|----|----|--------|----------|
| 13 | 13 | 14 | 0.5416 | 0.7129   |
| 14 | 14 | 15 | 0.5910 | 0.5260   |
| 15 | 15 | 16 | 0.7463 | 0.5450   |
| 16 | 16 | 17 | 1.2890 | 1.7210   |
| 17 | 17 | 18 | 0.7320 | 0.5740   |
| 18 | 2  | 19 | 0.1640 | 0.1565   |
| 19 | 19 | 20 | 1.5042 | 1.3554   |
| 20 | 20 | 21 | 0.4095 | 0.4784   |
| 21 | 21 | 22 | 0.7089 | 0.9373   |
| 22 | 3  | 23 | 0.4512 | 0.3083   |
| 23 | 23 | 24 | 0.8980 | 0.7091   |
| 24 | 24 | 25 | 0.8960 | 0.7011   |
| 25 | 6  | 26 | 0.2030 | 0.1034   |
| 26 | 26 | 27 | 0.2842 | 0.1447   |
| 27 | 27 | 28 | 1.0590 | 0.9337   |
| 28 | 28 | 29 | 0.8042 | 0.7006   |
| 29 | 29 | 30 | 0.5075 | 0.2585   |
| 30 | 30 | 31 | 0.9744 | 0.9630   |
| 31 | 31 | 32 | 0.3105 | 0.3619   |
| 32 | 32 | 33 | 0.3410 | 0.5302]; |

Table 2. Line data of 33 Node Radial Distribution Test System data.

| Load data of 69 Node Radial Distribution Test |           |        |          |  |  |  |
|---|-----------|--------|----------|--|--|--|
| System  |           |        |          |  |  |  |
| Load data                                     | %No. (kW) | (kVAr) | Injected |  |  |  |
| 1   | 0         | 0      | 0        |  |  |  |
| 2   | 100       | 60     | 0        |  |  |  |
| 3   | 90        | 40     | 0        |  |  |  |
| 4   | 120       | 80     | 0        |  |  |  |
| 5   | 60        | 30     | 0        |  |  |  |
| 6   | 60        | 20     | 0        |  |  |  |
| 7   | 200       | 100    | 0        |  |  |  |
| 8   | 200       | 100    | 0        |  |  |  |
| 9   | 60        | 20     | 0        |  |  |  |
| 10  | 60        | 20     | 0        |  |  |  |
| 11  | 45        | 30     | 0        |  |  |  |
| 12  | 60        | 35     | 0        |  |  |  |
| 13  | 60        | 35     | 0        |  |  |  |
| 14  | 120       | 80     | 0        |  |  |  |
| 15  | 60        | 10     | 0        |  |  |  |
| 16  | 60        | 20     | 0        |  |  |  |
| 17  | 60        | 20     | 0        |  |  |  |
| 18  | 90        | 40     | 0        |  |  |  |
| 19  | 90        | 40     | 0        |  |  |  |
| 20  | 90        | 40     | 0        |  |  |  |
| 21  | 90        | 40     | 0        |  |  |  |
| 22  | 90        | 40     | 0        |  |  |  |
| 23  | 90        | 50     | 0        |  |  |  |

#### An Open Access Journal

| 24 | 420 | 200 | 0 |
|----|-----|-----|---|
| 25 | 420 | 200 | 0 |
| 26 | 60  | 25  | 0 |
| 27 | 60  | 25  | 0 |
| 28 | 60  | 20  | 0 |
| 29 | 120 | 70  | 0 |
| 30 | 200 | 600 | 0 |
| 31 | 150 | 70  | 0 |
| 32 | 210 | 100 | 0 |
| 33 | 60  | 40  | 0 |

# IV. SIMULATION AND RESULT DISCUSSION

Load Flow Method for Radial Network- The computational procedure required to determine the steady state operating conditions of a power system network is termed as load flow. Load flow calculation is an important tool in the area of transmission and distribution system. It is required for automated distribution systems for operation and control, planning and optimization etc.

| No.<br>1<br>2<br>3<br>4 | Mag.   | Degree  | kW       | kVAr     | 1.00      |           |      |
|-------------------------|--------|---------|----------|----------|-----------|-----------|------|
| 1<br>2<br>3<br>4        | 1.0000 |         |          |          | kW        | kVAr      | kvar |
| 2<br>3<br>4             |        | 0.0000  | 0.0000   | 0.0000   | 2662.7191 | 2419.6307 | 0.00 |
| 3<br>4                  | 0.9971 | 0.0009  | 90.0000  | 60.0000  | 0.0000    | 0.0000    | 0.00 |
| 4                       | 0.9837 | 0.0057  | 90.0000  | 40.0000  | 0.0000    | 0.0000    | 0.00 |
|                         | 0.9757 | 0.0084  | 90.0000  | 80.0000  | 0.0000    | 0.0000    | 0.00 |
| 5                       | 0.9679 | 0.0111  | 60.0000  | 30.0000  | 0.0000    | 0.0000    | 0.00 |
| 6                       | 0.9475 | 0.0131  | 60.0000  | 20.0000  | 0.0000    | 0.0000    | 0.00 |
| 7                       | 0.9433 | 0.0092  | 90.0000  | 100.0000 | 0.0000    | 0.0000    | 0.00 |
| 8                       | 0.9377 | 0.0105  | 90.0000  | 100.0000 | 0.0000    | 0.0000    | 0.00 |
| 9                       | 0.9296 | 0.0091  | 60.0000  | 20.0000  | 0.0000    | 0.0000    | 0.00 |
| 10                      | 0.9220 | 0.0079  | 60.0000  | 20.0000  | 0.0000    | 0.0000    | 0.00 |
| 11                      | 0.9209 | 0.0081  | 45.0000  | 30.0000  | 0.0000    | 0.0000    | 0.00 |
| 12                      | 0.9190 | 0.0084  | 60.0000  | 35.0000  | 0.0000    | 0.0000    | 0.00 |
| 13                      | 0.9112 | 0.0067  | 60.0000  | 35.0000  | 0.0000    | 0.0000    | 0.00 |
| 14                      | 0.9083 | 0.0051  | 90.0000  | 80.0000  | 0.0000    | 0.0000    | 0.00 |
| 15                      | 0.9064 | 0.0042  | 60.0000  | 10.0000  | 0.0000    | 0.0000    | 0.00 |
| 16                      | 0.9046 | 0.0036  | 60.0000  | 20.0000  | 0.0000    | 0.0000    | 0.00 |
| 17                      | 0.9019 | 0.0018  | 60.0000  | 20.0000  | 0.0000    | 0.0000    | 0.00 |
| 18                      | 0.9011 | 0.0016  | 90.0000  | 40.0000  | 0.0000    | 0.0000    | 0.00 |
| 19                      | 0.9964 | 0.0006  | 90.0000  | 40.0000  | 0.0000    | 0.0000    | 0.00 |
| 20                      | 0.9916 | -0.0009 | 90.0000  | 40.0000  | 0.0000    | 0.0000    | 0.00 |
| 21                      | 0.9907 | -0.0014 | 90.0000  | 40.0000  | 0.0000    | 0.0000    | 0.00 |
| 22                      | 0.9898 | -0.0019 | 90.0000  | 40.0000  | 0.0000    | 0.0000    | 0.00 |
| 23                      | 0.9814 | 0.0067  | 90.0000  | 50.0000  | 0.0000    | 0.000     | 0.00 |
| 24                      | 0.9776 | 0.0087  | 100.0000 | 200.0000 | 0.0000    | 0.0000    | 0.00 |
| 25                      | 0.9756 | 0.0096  | 100.0000 | 200.0000 | 0.0000    | 0.0000    | 0.00 |
| 26                      | 0.9456 | 0.0144  | 60.0000  | 25.0000  | 0.0000    | 0.0000    | 0.00 |
| 27                      | 0.9430 | 0.0163  | 60.0000  | 25.0000  | 0.0000    | 0.0000    | 0.00 |
| 28                      | 0.9309 | 0.0216  | 60.0000  | 20.0000  | 0.0000    | 0.0000    | 0.00 |
| 29                      | 0.9224 | 0.0259  | 90.0000  | 70.0000  | 0.0000    | 0.0000    | 0.00 |
| 30                      | 0.9191 | 0.0293  | 100.0000 | 600.0000 | 0.0000    | 0.0000    | 0.00 |
| 31                      | 0.9150 | 0.0292  | 90.0000  | 70.0000  | 0.0000    | 0.0000    | 0.00 |
| 32                      | 0.9141 | 0.0291  | 100.0000 | 100.0000 | 0.0000    | 0.0000    | 0.00 |
| 33                      | 0.9137 | 0.0289  | 60.0000  | 40.0000  | 0.0000    | 0.0000    | 0.00 |

Fig 3. Load flow solution for LV System.

This load flow technique is computationally efficient and is fast in convergence. The load flow techniques [9-10]]are used for solving radial distribution system. A simple and efficient load flow technique has been used for solving the both Low Voltage Distribution System (LVDS) and High Voltage Distribution System (HVDS). The effectiveness of the proposed method is tested with practical radial distribution system.

|     |         | Radia   | l Distribu | tion Load | Flow Soluti | on        |          |
|-----|---------|---------|------------|-----------|-------------|-----------|----------|
| Bus | Voltage | a Angle | I          | oad       | Subst       | ation     | Injected |
| No. | Mag.    | Degree  | kW         | kVAr      | kW          | kVAr      | kvar     |
|     |         |         |            |           |             |           |          |
|     | 1 0000  | 0.0000  | 0.0000     | 0.0000    | 2716 2016   | 0000 1000 | 0.0000   |
| 1   | 1.0000  | 0.0000  | 100.0000   | 0.0000    | 3/15.2810   | 2300.1000 | 0.0000   |
| 2   | 0.9960  | 0.0004  | 100.0000   | 60.0000   | 0.0000      | 0.0000    | 0.0000   |
| 2   | 0.9770  | 0.0024  | 90.0000    | 40.0000   | 0.0000      | 0.0000    | 0.0000   |
| 4   | 0.9668  | 0.0040  | 120.0000   | 80.0000   | 0.0000      | 0.0000    | 0.0000   |
| 5   | 0.9568  | 0.0057  | 60.0000    | 30.0000   | 0.0000      | 0.0000    | 0.0000   |
| 6   | 0.9318  | 0.0036  | 60.0000    | 20.0000   | 0.0000      | 0.0000    | 0.0000   |
| 7   | 0.9271  | -0.0020 | 200.0000   | 100.0000  | 0.0000      | 0.0000    | 0.0000   |
| 8   | 0.9205  | -0.0012 | 200.0000   | 100.0000  | 0.0000      | 0.0000    | 0.0000   |
| 9   | 0.9119  | -0.0032 | 60.0000    | 20.0000   | 0.0000      | 0.0000    | 0.0000   |
| 10  | 0.9040  | -0.0048 | 60.0000    | 20.0000   | 0.0000      | 0.0000    | 0.0000   |
| 11  | 0.9028  | -0.0047 | 45.0000    | 30.0000   | 0.0000      | 0.0000    | 0.0000   |
| 12  | 0.9008  | -0.0044 | 60.0000    | 35.0000   | 0.0000      | 0.0000    | 0.0000   |
| 13  | 0.8924  | -0.0068 | 60.0000    | 35.0000   | 0.0000      | 0.0000    | 0.0000   |
| 14  | 0.8894  | -0.0089 | 120.0000   | 80.0000   | 0.0000      | 0.0000    | 0.0000   |
| 15  | 0.8874  | -0.0098 | 60.0000    | 10.0000   | 0.0000      | 0.0000    | 0.0000   |
| 16  | 0.8856  | -0.0105 | 60.0000    | 20.0000   | 0.0000      | 0.0000    | 0.0000   |
| 17  | 0.8828  | -0.0125 | 60.0000    | 20.0000   | 0.0000      | 0.0000    | 0.0000   |
| 18  | 0.8820  | -0.0127 | 90.0000    | 40.0000   | 0.0000      | 0.0000    | 0.0000   |
| 19  | 0.9953  | 0.0001  | 90.0000    | 40.0000   | 0.0000      | 0.0000    | 0.0000   |
| 20  | 0.9906  | -0.0015 | 90.0000    | 40.0000   | 0.0000      | 0.0000    | 0.0000   |
| 21  | 0.9896  | -0.0019 | 90.0000    | 40.0000   | 0.0000      | 0.0000    | 0.0000   |
| 22  | 0.9888  | -0.0024 | 90.0000    | 40.0000   | 0.0000      | 0.0000    | 0.0000   |
| 23  | 0.9722  | 0.0016  | 90.0000    | 50.0000   | 0.0000      | 0.0000    | 0.0000   |
| 24  | 0.9632  | -0.0005 | 420.0000   | 200.0000  | 0.0000      | 0.0000    | 0.0000   |
| 25  | 0.9588  | -0.0015 | 420.0000   | 200.0000  | 0.0000      | 0.0000    | 0.0000   |
| 26  | 0.9292  | 0.0046  | 60.0000    | 25,0000   | 0.0000      | 0.0000    | 0.0000   |
| 27  | 0.9257  | 0.0061  | 60.0000    | 25.0000   | 0.0000      | 0.0000    | 0.0000   |
| 28  | 0.9101  | 0.0085  | 60.0000    | 20.0000   | 0.0000      | 0.0000    | 0.0000   |
| 29  | 0.8989  | 0.0107  | 120.0000   | 70,0000   | 0.0000      | 0.0000    | 0.0000   |
| 30  | 0.8941  | 0.0134  | 200.0000   | 600.0000  | 0.0000      | 0.0000    | 0.0000   |
| 31  | 0 8884  | 0.0114  | 150 0000   | 70.0000   | 0.0000      | 0.0000    | 0.0000   |
| 30  | 0.8871  | 0.0100  | 210 0000   | 100.0000  | 0.0000      | 0.0000    | 0.0000   |
| 32  | 0.8867  | 0.0107  | 60 0000    | 40.0000   | 0.0000      | 0.0000    | 0.0000   |
|     | 0.0007  | 0.0107  |            | 40.0000   | 0.0000      | 0.0000    | 0.0000   |
|     |         |         |            |           |             |           |          |

Total 3715.0000 2300.0000 3715.2816 2300.1880 0.0000

Fig 4. Load flow solution for HV System.

| 4  | Figure 1 | : POWERLOSS    |                      |              | _ | Х |
|----|----------|----------------|----------------------|--------------|---|---|
| Fi | e Edit   | View Insert    | Tools Desktop Wir    | ndow Help    |   | Y |
| 1  | <u>ë</u> | 🍓   🕅 🖗 🧕      | \ 🖗 🕽 🗜 🔏 ·          | 3  🛛 🗉   🗉 🗖 |   |   |
|    |          |                |                      |              |   |   |
|    |          | Real power(KW) | Reactive power(KVAR) |              |   |   |
|    | HVDC     | 0.2816         | 0.1880               |              |   |   |
|    | LVDC     | 177.7191       | 119.6307             |              |   |   |
|    |          |                |                      |              |   |   |
|    |          |                |                      |              |   |   |
|    |          |                |                      |              |   |   |
|    |          |                |                      |              |   |   |
|    |          |                |                      |              |   |   |
|    |          |                |                      |              |   |   |
|    |          |                |                      |              |   |   |
|    |          |                |                      |              |   |   |

Fig 5. Power losses in HVDC and LVDC.

An Open Access Journal

The end user, either in the HVDS or in the LVDS operates his equipment at LT voltage only. Hence by comparison it can be observed that an losses In HVDC System is lower than LVDC System.

| Main_regression   |           | _ |  | $\times$ |  |  |
|-------------------|-----------|---|--|----------|--|--|
| Optimize & Predic |           |   |  |          |  |  |
| ○ JANUARY         |           |   |  |          |  |  |
| ○ FEBRUARY        | ⊖ AUGUST  |   |  |          |  |  |
| O MARCH           |           |   |  |          |  |  |
|                   | O OCTOBER |   |  |          |  |  |
| ⊖ MAY             |           |   |  |          |  |  |
| ⊖ JUNE            | DECEMBER  |   |  |          |  |  |
| OPTIMIZE & PR     | REDICT    |   |  |          |  |  |
| Fig 6 CLII window |           |   |  |          |  |  |

Fig 6. GUI window.



Fig 7. Power loss prediction.



Fig 8. DATASET.



Fig 9. Discharge Rate.





Stroage volume

Fig 10. Storage rate.



#### An Open Access Journal

# V. CONCLUSIONS

In this work, the optimal switching criterion based minimization of power loss for the distribution systems is proposed. It can efficiently relieve the system contingency such as overloading of the main transformers or the occurrence of faults in the distribution systems.

The optimal switching criterion can increase the operational efficiency of the distribution systems by minimizing the power loss. The load profile of the distribution feeders and the load patterns of each type of load customers are used to evaluate the loading condition at each moment of variation and the feeder load behavior is represented more accurately.

It is much easier to use a training algorithm of the neural network even when the number of neurons is larger than the conventional one. However, the neural network has much better online application with a small number of neurons. This means it will respond correctly to the closely related pattern other than the one used for training. In the present research, the proposed Network is less dependent on the weighting factors and is more generic than the other Neural Network based capacitor placement methods.

Also, the use of forward-only algorithm simplifies the computation process in the second order training that can handle arbitrarily connected neural networks and has the benefit of speed for networks with multiple outputs.

This application capability has wireless connectivity to identify the capacitor location from the substations. Any sub-station (Distribution System), needs to continuously measure and monitor parameter (voltage, 154 frequency, power factor) and associated equipments. A system to monitor the electrical parameters and instantly convey to the decision loops for quick action using WAP is proposed in this research. Percentage reduction in the line loss and voltage regulation is achieved. It can be extended for a more complex distribution system and expansion planning.

The techniques developed in the present research for planning, designing and management of distribution systems are very effective and offers a good scope for optimum planning and designing of distribution systems with an emphasis on reduction of energy losses. The thesis proposes a new physical representation of the RDS, and the development of an expert system based RDS optimization tool to ensure maximum electrical energy utilization, minimum distribution feeder losses and enhanced energy utilization efficiency. The simulation results obtained by using Genetic Algorithm, Neural Network and WAP system on a sample feeder are found to be very encouraging. Hence, the heuristic rules are validating.

## REFERENCES

- Sirojiddin R. Chorshanbiev; Galaktion V. Shvedov; Hamdy M. Sultan; Khurshed B. Nazirov; Firdavs O. Ismoilov Structural Analysis of Power Losses in (6-10 / 0.4 kV) Urban Distribution Electric Networks of the City of Dushanbe, the Republic of Tajikistan 2019 IEEE Conference of Russian Young Researchers in Electrical and Electronic Engineering (EIConRus) Year: 2019.
- [2] Dai Wan; Liu Zhang; Miao Zhao; Hengyi Zhou; Simin Peng; Tao Peng Calculation Method of Hot Spot Temperature of Distribution Power Transmission Equipment Insulation Winding Based on Eddy Current Loss Density Distribution 2019 IEEE 3rd Conference on Energy Internet and Energy System Integration (EI2) Year: 2019.
- [3] Ying DING; Lin LI; Bo SUI The direct power transaction model between provinces considering the safety constraints and transmission loss 2018 International Conference on Power System Technology (POWERCON) Year: 201.
- [4] Dai Wan; Fei Qi; Miao Zhao; Liuming Mao; Xujin Duan; Yun Liu An Improved Method for Calculating Power Transmission Equipment Loss and Hot Spot Temperature in Distribution Network under Harmonic Disturbance 2019 IEEE 3rd Conference on Energy Internet and Energy System Integration (EI2) Year: 2019.
- [5] Constantin Moldoveanu;Irene Ionita;Virgil Brezoianu;Alexandru Tava;Sorin Zaharescu;Sorin Dan Grigorescu Requirements of a real time monitoring and analysis system of power losses in electrical transmission and distribution systems 2019 8th International Conference on Modern Power Systems (MPS) Year: 2019.
- [6] Dutta Sudipta, Singh SP. Optimal rescheduling of generators for congestion management based

An Open Access Journal

on particle swarm optimization. IEEE Trans Power Syst2008; 23:1560–9.

- [7] Kumar Ashwani, Sekhar Charan. Comparison of Sen Transformer and UPFC for congestion management in hybrid electricity markets. Electr Power Energy Syst 2013; 48:295–304.
- [8] Kumar Ashwani, Sekhar Charan. Congestion management with OPFCTS devices in deregulated electricity markets ensuring load ability limit. Electr Power Energy Syst 2013; 46:258–73.