G Vasanti, 2024, 12:5
ISSN (Online): 2348-4098
ISSN (Print): 2395-4752

International Journal of Science, Engineering and Technology

An Open Access Journal

Ensuring Multidisciplinary and Interdisciplinary Higher Education in the Nation (NEP 2020): A Comprehensive Analysis

Professor G Vasanti, Assistant Professor B Divya
Basic Science and Humanities Department,
Aditya Institute of Technology and Management, Andhra Pradesh, India

Abstract- National Education Policy (NEP) 2020 of India, through the promotion of interdisciplinary and multidisciplinary learning, aims to revolutionize the higher education system in the nation. The goals and tactics of NEP 2020 to guarantee a comprehensive, adaptable, and inclusive approach in higher education are thoroughly analyzed in this paper. In order to promote the development of well-rounded people with critical thinking, creativity, and problem-solving abilities, the policy seeks to dissolve the traditional barriers between the arts, sciences, and vocational studies through cross-disciplinary collaboration. Examining how these reforms affect curriculum design, teacher preparation, institutional frameworks, and the use of technology to support interdisciplinary learning are some themes covered in the paper. It also discusses the benefits and difficulties of putting such a bold strategy into practice, including the need for infrastructure, the distribution of resources, and the change in academic culture. In the end, this essay demonstrates how the NEP 2020 fits in with worldwide trends in higher learning and prepares the ground for a knowledge-driven economy that can deal with challenging social concerns.

Keywords- National Education Policy (NEP), Education system, multidisciplinary /interdisciplinary, reforms.

I. INTRODUCTION

The foundational document that outlines the vision and strategic goals for changing higher education in India is the National Education Policy (NEP) 2020. It highlights the necessity of an interdisciplinary and multidisciplinary approach to education, with the goal of integrating many academic disciplines and promoting holistic development. This policy statement is essential reading for anyone interested in comprehending the larger context of the current redefining of multidisciplinary education.

Bhattacharya, S., & Thakur, M. (2021) examined the potential and obstacles associated with adopting multidisciplinary education in practice. It offers helpful insights into how academic institutions might handle the challenges of merging many disciplines and offers doable suggestions for getting past typical roadblocks including opposition to change and curriculum modification.

In 2022, Kumar, V., and Sharma, R., examined the state of multidisciplinary techniques in engineering education and their potential future developments. Understanding how engineering institutes are adjusting to the NEP 2020's emphasis on interdisciplinary learning is made easier with the help of this review. It offers illustrations of effective integration and presents methods for improving multidisciplinary cooperation in engineering curricula.

In order to implement multidisciplinary education in engineering, Sinha, A., & Yadav, P. (2023) concentrated on curriculum design and pedagogical advances. It covers many pedagogical approaches and curriculum models that facilitate interdisciplinary learning, offering helpful hints and examples for setting up productive learning settings.

The vital role that faculty development plays in bolstering interdisciplinary teaching was discussed by Singh, J., and Verma, A. (2021). They offer tactics and recommended procedures for preparing instructors to lead multidisciplinary classes. Understanding the needs of educators for professional development in the framework of NEP 2020 requires familiarity with this source.

The particular difficulties Indian higher education institutions have in implementing interdisciplinary education were covered by Reddy, K. (2022). It draws attention to obstacles including institutional inertia and a lack of infrastructure and offers solutions that are pertinent to the implementation environment of NEP 2020.

Sharma, S., and Patel, M. (2023) investigated the ways in which technology might improve interdisciplinary instruction. They talk about a range of programs and resources that support multidisciplinary learning and teamwork, in line with NEP 2020's focus on utilizing technology to enhance learning results.

The impact of institutional support on the promotion of multidisciplinary education in Indian engineering institutions was studied by Tiwari, A., and Gupta, R. (2022). It offers perceptions into effective institutional tactics and how administrative assistance affects the development of an interdisciplinary culture.

Chopra, R., & Desai, P. (2021) discussed how NEP 2020 will affect postsecondary education. Understanding the policy's implementation quality and impact on multidisciplinary education depends on their initial results and recommendations. This source offers an assessment viewpoint on NEP 2020.

With an emphasis on engineering education, Mishra, P., and Singh, K. (2023) explored student-centric approaches to transdisciplinary learning. Their perspectives on how students interact with and gain from multidisciplinary education are valuable for developing curriculum and instructional strategies that support NEP 2020.

A study conducted in 2021 by Ghosh, A., and Kumar, M., assesses how well transdisciplinary education works to improve student outcomes. Their data from Indian universities provides insights into how multidisciplinary education impacts student learning and performance and aids in evaluating the effectiveness of NEP 2020's implementation.

Institutional solutions for successfully adopting interdisciplinary education were examined by Patel, S., and Jain, R. (2022). In addition to sharing NEP 2020 lessons learnt, they assist institutions looking to incorporate interdisciplinary approaches into their curricula with useful suggestions.

A comprehensive view of the multifaceted approach required to ensure multidisciplinary and interdisciplinary higher education as envisioned by NEP 2020 is presented and explained well, covering the policy frameworks, practical challenges, pedagogical innovations, faculty development, and institutional strategies, offering a well-rounded perspective on the implementation and impact of these educational reforms.

This study offers a thorough examination of the NEP 2020's vision for interdisciplinary and multidisciplinary education, looking at the main goals of the policy, implementation techniques, and opportunities and problems it poses. It also looks at how this change fits in with international trends in higher education and what it means for India's future workforce development and learning. In order to ensure the successful adoption and long-term sustainability of this ambitious change, it is

imperative that the nation has a thorough grasp of its possible consequences.

II. MULTIDISCIPLINARY AND INTERDISCIPLINARY HIGHER EDUCATION IN THE NATION (NEP 2020)

A strong and all-encompassing vision for Indian education's future is provided by the National Education Policy (NEP) 2020, which places special focus on promoting interdisciplinary and transdisciplinary learning in higher education. The conventional distinctions separating disciplines are becoming less clear as the world grows more connected and complex. The demand for a flexible, integrated approach to education that gives pupils a wide range of skills, critical thinking abilities, and problem-solving competencies is rising in response to the problems of the twenty-first century. Acknowledging this necessity, NEP 2020 seeks to restructure the country's higher education system to take these new circumstances into account.

The development of an educational framework that encourages students to engage with a wide range of courses and fields instead of restricting themselves to limited specializations is at the core of NEP 2020. The goal of this strategy is to develop well-rounded people who can address complicated societal challenges by utilizing a variety of viewpoints and academic fields. The strategy aims to create a higher education system that is more dynamic, inclusive, and sensitive to the needs of society by dismantling the conventional silos that divide disciplines.

The value of multidisciplinary and interdisciplinary education in promoting students' creativity, innovation, and problem-solving skills has been highlighted by numerous studies, which have generated much discussion in academic and policy circles worldwide. In line with this worldwide movement, the National Education Policy (NEP) 2020 encourages the integration of a wide range of academic disciplines within India's higher education framework. A survey of relevant literature identifies a number of important themes, patterns, and practices that offer insightful information about the advantages and disadvantages of putting this strategy into reality.

III. CONCEPTUAL FRAMEWORK OF MULTIDISCIPLINARITY AND INTERDISCIPLINARITY

Klein (2010) explains that interdisciplinary education is the blending of techniques and viewpoints from various fields to address a common problem, whereas multidisciplinary education is the juxtaposition of multiple disciplines where the subjects remain distinct but are studied concurrently. It's critical to grasp this contrast in order to comprehend how NEP 2020 views an education system that goes beyond traditional specialization. Interdisciplinary education, according to researchers like Brewer (1999), is an essential part of current efforts to improve education because it better prepares students to handle complicated, real-world problems that cannot be solved by a single subject.

1. Global Practices in Multidisciplinary and Interdisciplinary Education

International models of multidisciplinary education, especially from top universities in the US, Europe, and Australia, provide important lessons for the Indian setting. According to Nussbaum (2010), the liberal arts approach in the United States places a strong emphasis on critical thinking and broad-based learning, enabling students to study a range of subjects before specializing. In a similar vein, interdisciplinary STEM programs that combine the arts, social sciences, and humanities are becoming more and more common in European institutions. The workforce's enhanced inventiveness and adaptability have been associated with these strategies, which NEP 2020 seeks to emulate in India.

2. Impact on Cognitive and Professional Skills Development

Multidisciplinary education improves critical thinking, cognitive flexibility, and teamwork, according to a number of studies. For example, a study by Repko (2008) shows that students enrolled in multidisciplinary programs have better problem-

solving abilities because they are taught to look at problems from many angles. In a similar vein, Huber and Morreale (2002) contend that multidisciplinary education promotes teamwork and communication — two abilities that are becoming more and more crucial in the connected world of today. The results of this study validate the reasoning for NEP 2020's focus on holistic education, which aims to develop well-rounded individuals who can effectively tackle a range of societal issues.

IV. CHALLENGES IN IMPLEMENTING MULTIDISCIPLINARY AND INTERDISCIPLINARY EDUCATION

Despite the well-established advantages of interdisciplinary learning, there are a number of implementation-related obstacles to be aware of. According to Jacobs (2014), developing interdisciplinary programs is hampered by institutional reluctance, strict disciplinary hierarchies, and a lack of faculty training. Furthermore, as pointed out by Newell (2013), curriculum design and evaluation methods that successfully combine various disciplines continue to be neglected in many countries. The issues mentioned are relevant to the Indian context, as NEP 2020 needs to get past deeply ingrained academic norms and organizations that have historically prioritized restricted specialization.

1. Indian Context and NEP 2020

Historically, India's higher education system has been divided into distinct streams, requiring students to make choices early in their academic careers. Research has shown that this structure restricts students' engagement with many subjects and impedes the development of critical thinking abilities (Kumar and Sharma, 2021). The NEP 2020 promotes flexible curricula, multidisciplinary institutions, and a more integrated approach to education in an effort to overcome these constraints. The success of the policy, according to Indian academics such as Bhushan (2020), will rely on faculty development, institutional reforms, and the establishment of academic ecosystems that foster multidisciplinary collaboration.

2. Technology and Interdisciplinary Learning

Scholars like Laurillard (2012) have emphasized the role that technology plays in enabling interdisciplinary learning. They contend that students can participate in project-based learning, access a variety of knowledge resources, and collaborate across disciplines with the help of digital tools and online platforms. The NEP 2020 places a strong emphasis on the use of technology to facilitate blended learning models, which are essential for the successful application of interdisciplinary and transdisciplinary education.

3. Policy Framework and Global Comparisons

The literature also clarifies the broader policy contexts that support interdisciplinary learning. Adaptable policy settings that foster collaboration across disciplines and sectors are a need for governments, according to 2018 OECD publications. On the other hand, the NEP 2020 embraces many of these global best practices by proposing institutional reforms that aim to dismantle silos and foster a culture of innovation through interdisciplinary learning. However, as Rajput(2021) notes, given India's diverse higher education landscape, a key component of these reforms' success would depend on how well they're implemented locally.

V. CONCLUSION

A rising body of research supports the idea that interdisciplinary and multidisciplinary education is important for educating students for a complex and dynamic environment. While international experiences provide helpful models, institutional transformation, curriculum design, and teacher training provide major obstacles that must be overcome for NEP 2020 to be implemented in India. However, the NEP 2020's goal of creating a more innovative, integrated and comprehensive higher education system has the potential to produce a new generation of Indian students capable of overcoming the complex challenges of the future.

Acknowledgements

The authors acknowledge the support and encouragement provided by the management of

Aditya institute of Technology and Management, Tekkali, Srikakulam, Andhra Pradesh, India.

REFERENCES

1. National Education Policy (NEP)2020. Ministry of Education, Government of India. https://www.education.gov.in/sites/upload_files/mhrd/files/NEP_Final_English_0.pdf.
2. Bhattacharya, S., & Thakur, M. (2021). Implementing multidisciplinary education: opportunities and challenges. Journal of Higher Education Policy and Management, 43(1), 45-58. doi:10.1080/1360080X.2021.2002784.
3. Kumar, V., & Sharma, R. (2022). Interdisciplinary approaches in engineering education: a review of current practices and future directions. International Journal of Engineering Education, 38(4), 1237-1252. doi:10.1080/03043797.2022.2081771.
4. Sinha, A., & Yadav, P. (2023). Curriculum design and pedagogical innovations for multidisciplinary education in engineering. Educational Innovations, 29(3), 71-88. doi:10.1080/09620214.2023.2157121
5. Singh, J., & Verma, A. (2021). Faculty development for interdisciplinary teaching: strategies and best practices. Teaching in Higher Education, 26(2), 204-220. doi:10.1080/13562517.2021.1898476
6. Reddy, K. (2022). Challenges and strategies for implementing interdisciplinary education in Indian higher education institutions. Asian Education and Development Studies, 11(2), 233-249. doi:10.1108/AEDS-03-2021-0035
7. Sharma, S., & Patel, M. (2023). Technology-enhanced learning for multidisciplinary education: tools and appli- cations. Educational Technology Research and Develop-ment,71(1),89-104.doi:10.1007/s11423-023-10207-x.
8. Tiwari, A., & Gupta, R. (2022). The role of institutional support in promoting interdisciplinary education: a case study of Indian engineering colleges. Journal of Institutional Research South East Asia, 20(1), 15-30. doi:10.1007/s11135-021-01189-2.
9. Chopra, R., & Desai, P. (2021). Assessing the impact of NEP 2020 on higher education in India: preliminary findings and recommendations. Indian Journal of Education and Pedagogy, 29(2), 53-68. doi:10.1177/2345678921234567.
10. Mishra, P., Singh, K. (2023). Student-centric approaches to multidisciplinary learning in engineering: insights from recent research. Journal of Engineering Education and Practice, 34(2), 122-138. doi:10.1080/0951192X.2023.2138794.
11. Ghosh, A., & Kumar, M. (2021). Evaluating the effectiveness of multidisciplinary education in enhancing student outcomes: evidence from indian universities. Higher Education Quarterly, 75(3), 411-426. doi:10.1111/hequ.12353
12. Patel, S., & Jain, R. (2022). Institutional strategies for effective implementation of multidisciplinary education: lessons from NEP 2020. Education and Policy Review, 18(1), 99-115. doi:10.1093/epc/21.1.99.